

Own the dream, share the cost: understanding fractional ownership

By Deonay Scholtz, Phatsoane Henney Attorneys

Fractional ownership is changing the way people think about property ownership in South Africa. Instead of purchasing an entire property outright, multiple buyers share ownership of a single property, with each investor holding a defined interest in the asset. Depending on the ownership structure, participants may share the costs of maintaining the property, enjoy allocated periods of use, and potentially benefit from rental income and capital growth.

For many years, property ownership in South Africa has been associated with buying a home or investment property outright. However, rising property prices and growing awareness of the responsibilities and costs of full ownership have contributed to the growing popularity of alternative ownership models. One such model is fractional ownership, which offers a more accessible way for buyers and investors to participate in the property market.

In its simplest form, fractional ownership means that several individuals collectively own a property instead of a single person purchasing it outright. For example, a holiday home may be divided among ten owners, each acquiring a 10% interest in the property. In return, owners typically receive access to the property for an agreed number of days or weeks each year while sharing expenses such as maintenance, insurance, and management costs.

The precise structure of a fractional ownership arrangement can vary considerably. In some cases, buyers acquire a direct ownership interest in the property itself. In others, they purchase shares in a company or another legal entity that owns the property. This distinction matters because it affects governance, financing, transferability, and owners' legal rights.

Fractional ownership should not be confused with traditional timeshare arrangements. While timeshare generally grants the right to use a property for a specified period, fractional ownership involves an actual ownership interest in either the property or the legal entity that owns it. As a result, owners may benefit from any appreciation in the property's value and, depending on the arrangement, may also share in rental income generated by the property.

In South Africa, fractional ownership is most popular in the holiday and luxury property market, giving buyers access to a diverse range of coastal, mountain, luxury, and safari properties that might otherwise be financially unattainable.

One of the primary attractions of fractional ownership is affordability. Buying a fraction of a property requires far less capital than buying an entire property, allowing buyers to access higher-value assets at a lower entry cost. Additionally, owners usually share ongoing expenses such as maintenance, levies, insurance, management fees, and other operational costs in proportion to their respective interests.

Another potential benefit is the opportunity to participate in rental income and capital appreciation. Although neither is guaranteed, owners may enjoy returns generated by the property while sharing the associated costs and risks with other investors.

Despite these advantages, fractional ownership has challenges. One of the most significant limitations is restricted access. Unlike sole ownership, fractional ownership does not provide unlimited use of the property. Access is typically governed by a roster, booking system, or usage agreement among the owners. Buyers therefore need to be comfortable sharing the property and potentially compromising on preferred dates and periods of occupation.

Differences in owners' objectives can also create challenges. Some owners may wish to maximise rental income by making the property available to paying guests, while others may prefer to reserve it exclusively for personal or family use. As a result, conflicts of interest may arise if the governing agreement does not clearly address expectations.

Another consideration is the resale and financing of fractional ownership interests. Unlike conventional residential property, there is not yet a well-established secondary market for buying and selling fractional interests, which may affect liquidity and the ease of exiting an investment.

Financing can also be more complex than in traditional property transactions. The financing implications often depend on the ownership structure. For example, a property may be held under a single title deed with multiple co-owners, or it may be registered in the name of a company or other legal entity in which the owners hold shares. In cases where owners acquire separate undivided shares in a property, banks may be reluctant to provide mortgage finance. From a lender's perspective, selling a fractional interest through an execution process can be considerably more difficult than selling a conventional property should the borrower default.

For this reason, prospective buyers should carefully consider the legal and financial structure of any fractional ownership arrangement before committing. Seeking professional legal and financial advice can help ensure that buyers fully understand their rights, obligations, and potential risks.

Fractional ownership offers an innovative and increasingly popular alternative to traditional property ownership in South Africa. By lowering the barriers to entry and spreading costs among multiple owners, it can provide access to desirable properties that may otherwise be out of reach. However, as with any investment or ownership structure, potential buyers should carefully evaluate the practical, legal, and financial implications before participating. When properly structured and managed, fractional ownership can offer a flexible, cost-effective way to enjoy the benefits of property ownership without assuming the full burden of owning a property outright.

Disclaimer: This article is the personal opinion/view of the author(s) and does not necessarily present the views of the firm. The content is provided for information only and should not be seen as an exact or complete exposition of the law. Accordingly, no reliance should be placed on the content for any reason whatsoever, and no action should be taken on the basis thereof unless its application and accuracy have been confirmed by a legal advisor. The firm and author(s) cannot be held liable for any prejudice or damage resulting from action taken based on this content without further written confirmation by the author(s).